BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

IN THE MATTER C	F:	:	
MICHELLE GROVI	Ξ,	: :	
	Requester,	: :	
v.		: :	No. AP 2018-1510
GREGG TOWNSHIP,		:	
	Respondent.	:	

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO REQUESTER'S APPEAL

The Respondent, Gregg Township, hereby files this Response to Requester's Appeal, stating in support thereof as follows:

I. Factual Background

In this matter involving the Pennsylvania Right to Know Law ("Law"), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 to 67.3104, Requester Michelle Grove filed a Standard Right to Know Request Form with Respondent Gregg Township ("Township") on August 13, 2018. Ms. Grove's request was as follows:

* Surveillance footage of campaign sign being run over AND replaced along school street between 10/10/2017-10/11/2017, 10/15/2017-10/16/2017, 10/16/2017-10/17/2017, 11/1/2017-11/2/2017. Include involved vehicles entering and existing frames.

Standard Right-to-Know Request Form (Aug. 13, 2018).

Jennifer Snyder is the Right to Know Law Officer for the Township. In her attestation, Ms. Snyder confirms that, on August 20, 2018, the Township responded to Ms. Grove's request. Snyder Attestation ¶ 10. In that response, Ms. Snyder noted that Ms. Grove has requested the same information in a prior Right to Know Law request, and that the Township was, therefore,

denying this request. *Id.* ¶ 11. Indeed, Ms. Grove first requested the same footage on March 30, 2018. *Id.* ¶ 3. Ms. Grove filed an appeal with the Office of Open Records ("OOR") when that request was denied, and OOR issued a final determination in that matter at docket number AP 2018-0605. Ms. Grove next requested the same footage on June 8, 2018. *Id.* Ms. Grove filed an appeal with OOR when that request was denied, and OOR issued a final determination in that matter at docket number AP 2018-1288. The recent request is the third time that Ms. Grove has requested this information, and each time, she files an appeal that requires significant time, energy, and expense from the Township.

To make matters worse for Ms. Grove, the Township's most recent response to Ms. Grove answers the question that Ms. Grove is seeking—the Township's response tells Ms. Grove that the requested surveillance footage "does not show footage of any campaign sign, campaign sign being run over, or being replaced along school street." As indicated in Ms. Grove's requests, she is requesting "[s]urveillance footage of campaign sign being run over AND replaced along school street." Consequently, the Township not only refuses to engage in this same request—appeal cycle over these same requests, but the Township cannot, even if compelled to disclose footage of the campaign sign being run over, provide the desired information, because the surveillance cameras do not extend that far.

II. Legal Argument: The Township Is Not Required to Respond to Ms. Grove's Third Request for the Same Surveillance Footage.

The Township is not required to respond to three requests for the same information, especially when the prior two requests have passed through the appeals process before the Office of Open Records. Under the Law, any "agency may deny a requester access to a record if the requester has made repeated requests for that same record and the repeated requests have placed an unreasonable burden on the agency." 65 P.S. § 67.506(a).

Ms. Snyder's attestation and the facts of record establish that Ms. Grove has requested the same information now three times. These requests, moreover, have placed an unreasonable burden on the Township. For the two prior requests and appeals, the Township's solicitor spent approximately 6.4 hours of time assisting the Township with responding to and preparing responses to Ms. Grove's appeals. *Snyder Attestation* ¶¶ 4-6. Of note, those appeals involved not just the appeals themselves; when Ms. Grove lost the appeal docketed at AP 2018-1288, she filed a "petition for reconsideration" that involved even more litigation on the issue. Through this request and appeal, the Township is again spending priceless resources working with its solicitor to respond to a request for information that has already been addressed on two prior occasions, not counting the petition for reconsideration. The burden of this request is, therefore, without question, unreasonable.

Ms. Grove is also collaterally estopped from seeking these records, because OOR has already decided that she is unable to access them. Collateral estoppel prevents a party from relitigating an issue if: 1) the issue decided in the earlier case is identical to the issue presented in the latter case; 2) there was a final judgment on the merits; 3) the party against whom estoppel is asserted was a party to the prior case; and 4) the party against whom estoppel is asserted had a full and fair opportunity to litigate the issue in the prior case. *City of Pittsburgh v. Zoning Bd. of Adjustment*, 599 A.2d 896 (Pa. 1989). Collateral estoppel applies both to courts and administrative agencies. *Phila. Elec. Co. v. Borough of Lansdale*, 424 A.2d 514, 521 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1981) (citations omitted).

Ms. Grove's latest request satisfies the foregoing elements. For one, Ms. Grove's ability to access these records was already decided at docket numbers AP 2018-0605 and AP 2018-1288, and both matters reached finality, as neither party appealed within the relevant time limits.

Ms. Grove was a party in the prior matters, and she had a full and fair opportunity to raise any issues regarding access to those records. The principles supporting collateral estoppel justify the litigation of this request for now a third time.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, the Respondent, Gregg Township, respectfully requests that the appeal filed by the Requester, Michelle Grove, be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Gaines, Jr.

Pa. I.D. No. 308932

MILLER, KISTLER & CAMPBELL

720 South Atherton Street, Suite 201

State College, PA 16801

(814) 234-1500 TEL

(814) 234-1549 FAX

dgaines@mkclaw.com

Counsel for Respondent

Dated: September 4, 2018

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

GREGG TOWNSHIP,	:	
v.	: :	No. AP 2018-1510
Requester,	:	
D	:	
MICHELLE GROVE,	: :	
IN THE MATTER OF:	:	

ATTESTATION OF JENNIFER SNYDER

- I, Jennifer Snyder, make the following attestation under the penalty of perjury:
- 1. I am the Right to Know Law Officer for the Respondent, Gregg Township ("Township").
- 2. I received a Standard Right to Know Request Form from Michelle Grove on August 13, 2018, which requested the following information:
 - * Surveillance footage of campaign sign being run over AND replaced along school street between 10/10/2017-10/11/2017, 10/15/2017-10/16/2017, 10/16/2017-10/17/2017, 11/1/2017-11/2/2017. Include involved vehicles entering and existing frames.
- 3. Ms. Grove has requested this information on two prior occasions. A copy of those requests are attached hereto as Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively.
- 4. Both of Ms. Grove's prior matters went through the appeals process before the Office of Open Records, and neither party appealed from the relevant final determinations.
- 5. Because both prior appeals involved technical interpretations of the law, including matters involving the disclosure of surveillance footage, I have been required to work with the Township's solicitor on these matters.

- 6. For the two prior matters, the solicitor invoiced the Township for approximately 6.4 hours of time at the rate of \$140.00 per hour.
- 7. Although this matter is not yet complete, and we thus do not know what the ultimate burden on the Township will be, it can only be assumed that this matter will require similar input from the solicitor.
- 8. The Township has already exceeded its annual legal services budget by more than four-thousand dollars, one-hundred-seventy-one percent of this budget line.
- 9. Further exceeding that budget for a request that has already been requested and litigated on two prior occasions is, in my opinion, unreasonable.
- 10. For all of the foregoing reasons, I responded to Ms. Grove's latest request for this information on August 20, 2018.
- 11. As set forth in that response letter, the Township denied the request because Ms. Grove has requested the same information on prior occasions, and responding and relitigating this issue will be an unreasonable burden on the Township.
- 12. In the response letter, I also indicated that the Township does not, in any event, have surveillance footage of a campaign sign or a campaign sign being run over.
- 13. This is because the surveillance footage is centered on the Old Gregg School playground and parking lot and does not extend to the portion of the street that Ms. Grove is seeking.
- 14. I hereby certify that the facts contained in the foregoing Attestation are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I make this Attestation subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Jennifer Snyder

EXHIBIT A



STANDARD RIGHT-TO-KNOW REQUEST FORM

DATE REQUESTED: 3/30/2018	alaban di kacamatan		,	
REQUEST SUBMITTED BY:	☑ E-MAIL	□ U.S. MAIL		
REQUEST SUBMITTED TO (Age Spring Mills, PA 16875	ncy name & add	ress): Gregg Townsh	tip, 106 School S	Street, PO Box 184,
NAME OF REQUESTER : Michell	e Grove			
STREET ADDRESS: PO Box 253			Accessing the control of the control	
CITY/STATE/COUNTY/ZIP(Requi	red): Spring Mil	ls, PA 16875		
TELEPHONE (Optional): 814-470	-1132	EMAIL (optional)	: michelleyvonn	egrove@gmail.com
RECORDS REQUESTED: *Provide Please use additional sheets if n	e as much specific recessary			
The following SECURITY FOOT: *10/10/2017 7PM-10/11/2017 7PM *10/16/2017 7PM-10/17/2017 11A	M *10/15/2	L STREET: 017 5PM-10/16/2017 017 12AM-11/2/2017		
DO YOU WANT COPIES? ME YES DO YOU WANT TO INSPECT THE DO YOU WANT CERTIFIED COPE DO YOU WANT TO BE NOTIFIED	E RECORDS? E	OS? □ YES Ø NO	DS \$100? 2 YE	S □ NO
** PLEASE NOTE: ** IT IS A REQUIRED		Y OF THIS REQUES YOU WOULD NEED		
	FOR AGI	NCY USE ONLY	aufilia a lutini lutia la managarini kalanda katalan katalan katalan katalan katalan katalan katalan katalan k Li	Waterprinter and State Co. Special Co. Spe
OPEN-RECORDS OFFICER:				
□ I have provided notice to approp	riate third parties	and given them an o	pportunity to ob	ject to this request
DATE RECEIVED BY THE AGENC	CY:			

AGENCY FIVE (5) BUSINESS DAY RESPONSE DUE:

**Public bodies may fill anonymous verbal or written requests. If the requestor wishes to pursue the relief and remedies provided for in this Act, the request must be in writing. (Section 702.) Written requests need not include an explanation why information is sought or the intended use of the information unless otherwise required by law. (Section 703.)

EXHIBIT B



STANDARD RIGHT-TO-KNOW REQUEST FORM

DATE REQUESTED: 6/8/201	8			
REQUEST SUBMITTED BY:	₩ E-MAIL	u.s. Mail	□ FAX	□ IN-PERSON
REQUEST SUBMITTED TO (Ag	ency name & add	iress): Gregg Town	ship, 106 Scho	ool Street,
PO Box 184, Spring Mills, PA	16875		manus voi manus voi jaminus	Separate and the second
NAME OF REQUESTER : Mich	relle Grove			
STREET ADDRESS: PO Box 2	53	i.i.i.iidaaaaaaaaa		
CITY/STATE/COUNTY/ZIP(Req	ulred): Spring M	fills, PA 16875		
TELEPHONE (Optional): 814-4	470-1132	EMAIL (optional)	: michelleyvo @gmail.con	nnegrove
RECORDS REQUESTED: *Provi Please use additional sheets If *Surveillance footage of camp 10/15/17-10/16/17, 10/16/17 *Copies of any report made or *10/1/2017-6/1/2018 Any em ELECTRONIC COPIES OR INSP	necessary laign sign being 7-10/17/17, 11/1 letter sent to the lails/texts to/from	run over along Scho /2017-11/2/2017. • e police or Sheriff re	ool Street 10/1 Include footag garding the va	0/17-10/11/17, ge of sign being replaced. ndalism.
DO YOU WANT COPIES? MYEDO YOU WANT TO INSPECT TO DO YOU WANT CERTIFIED CODO YOU WANT TO BE NOTIFIED.	HE RECORDS?	DS? 🗆 YES 🗆 NO	EDS \$100? 🗷 Y	ES 🗆 NO
** DI FASE NOTI	F- RETAIN A COF	Y OF THIS REQUES	T FOR YOUR I	FILES **
1944.4	FOR AG	ENCY USE ONLY		inggani kina da asil a
OBEN DECODES OFFICED.				1

OPEN-RECORDS OFFICER:

I have provided notice to appropriate third parties and given them an opportunity to object to this request

DATE RECEIVED BY THE AGENCY:

AGENCY FIVE (5) BUSINESS DAY RESPONSE DUE:

**Public bodies may fill anonymous verbal or written requests. If the requestor wishes to pursue the relief and remedies provided for in this Act, the request must be in writing. (Section 702.) Written requests need not include an explanation why information is sought or the intended use of the information unless otherwise required by law. (Section 703.)

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

MICHELLE GROVE,

:

Requester,

v.

No. AP 2018-1510

GREGG TOWNSHIP,

i a

Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David S. Gaines, Jr., hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this Response to Requester's Appeal was served by United States first-class mail, postage prepaid, on this fourth day of September, 2018, addressed as follows:

Michelle Grove P.O. Box 253 Spring Mills, PA 16875

David S. Gaines, Jr.

Dated: September 4, 2018